Code of Regulations, title 16, section 4102, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is:

30990 Avenida del Reposo Temecula, CA 92591

- Service of the Petition to Revoke Probation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Petition to Revoke Probation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Petition to Revoke Probation No. OT2005-179.
 - California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of the Board's records documenting Respondent's lack of compliance with her probation conditions, and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Petition to Revoke Probation No. OT2005-179, finds that the charges and allegations in Petition to Revoke Probation No. OT2005-179, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

111 27 III

24

25

26

28

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Lynn Marie Farney has subjected her Occupational Therapist License No. OT 2989 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The California Board of Occupational Therapy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Occupational Therapist License based upon the following violations alleged in the Petition to Revoke Probation which are supported by the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she did not comply with Probation Condition 2, in that she failed to return to her probation monitor the acknowledgement form "Important Information Regarding Safe Medications to Use While in Recovery," failed to submit a written quarterly report for the period November 11, 2010 to December 31, 2010, and failed to claim the chain of custody forms for biological fluid testing sent to her address of record.
- b. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she did not comply with Probation Condition 9 in that, within 30 days of the effective date of the Decision, she failed to submit a written plan to her probation monitor as to her compliance with the continuing education requirement.
- c. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she did not comply with Probation Condition 18 in that Respondent never enrolled in a biological testing program with FirstLabs.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Occupational Therapist License No. OT 2989, heretofore issued to Respondent Lynn Marie Farney, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

Should Respondent's license be reinstated, she shall pay to the Board costs associated with its investigation and enforcement, as previously ordered, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3 in the amount of \$4,263.19. Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Board.

This Decision shall b	become effective or	nMay 11,	2011
-----------------------	---------------------	----------	------

It is so ORDERED May 2, 2011

FOR THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

DOJ Matter ID:SD2011700165

| Attachment:

Exhibit A: Petition to Revoke Probation